

OncoGeneDx: Pancreatic Cancer Panel

PANEL GENE LIST

APC, ATM, BRCA1, BRCA2, CDK4, CDKN2A, EPCAM*, MLH1, MSH2, MSH6, PALB2, PMS2, STK11, TP53, VHL

*Testing includes sequencing and deletion/duplication analysis for all genes except EPCAM (del/dup only)...

CLINICAL FEATURES

In the general population, approximately 1.5% individuals will develop pancreatic cancer in their lifetime.¹ Most cases of pancreatic cancers develop sporadically. Up to 10% of pancreatic cases are thought to be due to a hereditary predisposition.^{2,3} The features of a personal and/or family history of cancer that are suggestive of a hereditary cancer predisposition include: young ages at diagnosis, multiple primary cancers in a single individual, diagnosis of a cancer type that is not common in general population (such as pancreatic cancer), and several relatives affected with cancer spanning multiple generations.

Germline *BRCA2* gene pathogenic variants account for approximately 5-17% of familial pancreatic cancer families, making up the highest percentage of known causes of inherited pancreatic cancer.4 Pathogenic variants in *PALB2*, *CDKN2A*, *STK11*, and the Lynch syndrome genes (*MLH1*, *MSH2*, *MSH6*, *PMS2*, and EPCAM) are also associated with significantly increased risk of pancreatic cancer.⁴ The other six genes on this panel account for additional causes of hereditary pancreatic cancer cases, and may increase the risk for other cancers as well.

INHERITANCE PATTERN

All of genes on this panel are associated with an autosomal dominant cancer risk. Some of the genes on this panel are also associated with extremely rare conditions when inherited in an autosomal recessive fashion. The specifics of this inheritance are outlined in the table below.

TEST METHODS

Genomic DNA is extracted from the submitted specimen. For skin punch biopsies, fibroblasts are cultured and used for DNA extraction. This DNA is enriched for the complete coding regions and splice site junctions of the genes on this panel using a proprietary targeted capture system developed by GeneDx for next generation sequencing with CNV calling (NGS-CNV). For *PTEN* nucleotides c.-700 through c.-1300 in the promoter region, and for *APC*, promoters 1A and 1B are also captured. The enriched targets are simultaneously sequenced with pairedend reads on an Illumina platform. Bi-directional sequence reads are assembled and aligned to reference sequences based on NCBI RefSeq transcripts and human genome build GRCh37/UCSC hg19. After gene specific filtering, data are analyzed to identify sequence variants and most deletions and duplications involving coding exons. For *EPCAM*, deletion/duplication analysis, but not sequencing, is performed. Alternative sequencing or copy number detection methods are used to analyze or confirm regions with inadequate sequence or copy number data by NGS. Reportable variants include pathogenic variants, likely pathogenic variants and variants of uncertain significance. Likely benign and benign variants, if present, are not routinely reported but are available upon request.

TEST SENSITIVITY

The clinical sensitivity of sequencing and deletion/duplication analysis of the 15 genes included in the OncoGeneDx Pancreatic Cancer Panel depends in part on the patient's clinical phenotype and family history. In general, the sensitivity is highest for individuals with features suggestive of a hereditary predisposition to cancer as outlined above. DNA sequencing will detect nucleotide substitutions and small insertions and deletions, while NGS-CNV analysis, array CGH, or MLPA will detect exon-level deletions and duplications. These methods are



expected to be greater than 99% sensitive in detecting pathogenic variants identifiable by sequencing or CNV technology.

Genetic testing using the methods applied at GeneDx is expected to be highly accurate. Normal findings do not rule out the diagnosis of a genetic disorder since some genetic abnormalities may be undetectable by this test. The methods used cannot reliably detect deletions of 20bp to 250bp in size, or insertions of 10bp to 250 bp in size. Sequencing cannot detect low-level mosaicism. The copy number assessment methods used with this test cannot reliably detect mosaicism and cannot identify balanced chromosome aberrations. Rarely, incidental findings of large chromosomal rearrangements outside the gene of interest may be identified. Regions of certain genes have inherent sequence properties (for example: repeat, homology, or pseudogene regions, high GC content, rare polymorphisms) that yield suboptimal data, potentially impairing accuracy of the results. False negatives may also occur in the setting of bone marrow transplantation, recent blood transfusion, or suboptimal DNA quality. In individuals with active or chronic hematologic neoplasms or conditions, there is a possibility that testing may detect an acquired somatic variant, resulting in a false positive result. As the ability to detect genetic variants and naming conventions can differ among laboratories, rare false negative results may occur when no positive control is provided for testing of a specific variant identified at another laboratory. The chance of a false positive or false negative result due to laboratory errors incurred during any phase of testing cannot be completely excluded. Interpretations are made with the assumption that any clinical information provided, including family relationships, are accurate. Consultation with a genetics professional is recommended for interpretation of results.

Gene	Protein	Inheritance	Disease Associations
APC ^{5–8}	ADENOMATOUS POLYPOSIS COLI PROTEIN	AD	Familial Adenomatous Polyposis (FAP)- associated condition: colorectal, duodenal or periampullary, gastric, thyroid, pancreatic, brain (medulloblastoma) & liver (hepatoblastoma) cancers, desmoid tumors, gastrointestinal polyps
ATM ^{9–14}	SERINE-PROTEIN KINASE ATM	AD	Breast, colon & pancreatic cancers
ATIVIS		AR	Ataxia telangiectasia
BRCA1 ^{15–25}	BREAST CANCER TYPE 1 SUSCEPTIBILITY PROTEIN	AD	Hereditary Breast and Ovarian Cancer (HBOC) syndrome: breast, ovarian, pancreatic, prostate & endometrial serous cancer
BRCA2 ^{15–23,25}	BREAST CANCER TYPE 2 SUSCEPTIBILITY PROTEIN	AD	Hereditary Breast and Ovarian Cancer (HBOC) syndrome: breast, ovarian, pancreatic, prostate, melanoma & endometrial serous cancer
		AR	Fanconi anemia
CDK4 ^{26–28}	CYCLIN-DEPENDENT KINASE 4	AD	Melanoma, non-melanoma skin & pancreatic cancer
CDKN2A ^{4,26,29} –	CYCLIN-DEPENDENT KINASE INHIBITOR 2A, TUMOR SUPPRESSOR ARF	AD	Familial atypical multiple mole melanoma (FAMMM) syndrome: melanoma, pancreatic cancer & astrocytoma



Gene	Protein	Inheritance	Disease Associations
EPCAM ^{33–38}	EPITHELIAL CELL ADHESION MOLECULE	AD	Lynch syndrome (LS): colorectal, endometrial, ovarian, gastric, pancreatic, biliary tract, urinary tract, small bowel, prostate & brain cancer, sebaceous neoplasms
		AR	Constitutional mismatch repair deficiency syndrome
MLH1 ^{33–37,39,40}	DNA MISMATCH REPAIR PROTEIN MLH1	AD	Lynch syndrome (LS): colorectal, endometrial, ovarian, gastric, pancreatic, biliary tract, urinary tract, small bowel, prostate & brain cancer, sebaceous neoplasms
		AR	Constitutional mismatch repair deficiency syndrome
MSH2 ^{33–40}	DNA MISMATCH REPAIR PROTEIN MSH2	AD	Lynch syndrome (LS): colorectal, endometrial, ovarian, gastric, pancreatic, biliary tract, urinary tract, small bowel, prostate & brain cancer, sebaceous neoplasms
		AR	Constitutional mismatch repair deficiency syndrome
MSH6 ^{33–37,39,41}	DNA MISMATCH REPAIR PROTEIN MSH6	AD	Lynch syndrome (LS): colorectal, endometrial, ovarian, gastric, pancreatic, biliary tract, urinary tract, small bowel, prostate & brain cancer, sebaceous neoplasms
		AR	Constitutional mismatch repair deficiency syndrome
PALB2 ^{10,42–47}	PARTNER AND LOCALIZER OF BRCA2	AD AR	Breast, pancreatic & ovarian cancer Fanconi anemia
PMS2 ^{33–37,48,49}	MISMATCH REPAIR ENDONUCLEASE PMS2	AD	Lynch syndrome (LS): colorectal, endometrial, ovarian, gastric, pancreatic, biliary tract, urinary tract, small bowel, prostate & brain cancer, sebaceous neoplasms
		AR	Constitutional mismatch repair deficiency syndrome
STK11 ^{5,50–52}	SERINE/THREONINE- PROTEIN KINASE STK11	AD	Peutz-Jeghers syndrome (PJS): breast, colorectal, pancreatic, gastric, small bowel, ovarian, lung, cervical & endometrial cancer, testicular tumors (LCCSCT), gastrointestinal polyps
TP53 ^{24,53–57}	CELLULAR TUMOR ANTIGEN P53	AD	Li-Fraumeni syndrome (LFS): breast cancer, sarcoma, brain cancer, hematologic malignancies, adrenocortical carcinoma, among others**



Gene	Protein	Inheritance	Disease Associations
VHL ^{58–61}	VON HIPPEL-LINDAU DISEASE TUMOR SUPPRESSOR	AD	von Hippel-Lindau (VHL) disease: renal cancer (clear cell), pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors, hemangioblastoma, pheochromocytoma, endolymphatic sac tumors

Because of evolving and expanding phenotypes, this list of cancer/tumor types is not exhaustive. Gene-specific risk for some of the cancers and other features listed are not well-defined.

Abbreviations:

AD – Autosomal Dominant

AR - Autosomal Recessive CGH - Comparative genomic hybridization

MLPA – Multiplex ligation-dependent probe amplification

LCCSCT - Large cell-calcifying Sertoli cell tumors

REFERENCES:

- Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results (SEER) Program of the National Cancer Institute. SEER Cancer Statistics Review, 19752012: Lifetime Risk Tables (URL: http://surveillance.cancer.gov/devcan) [October 2016 accessed].
- 2. Grover, S. & Syngal, S. Hereditary pancreatic cancer. Gastroenterology 139, 1076–1080, 1080.e1–2 (2010).
- 3. Lynch, H. T. et al. Familial pancreatic cancer: a review. Semin. Oncol. 23, 251–275 (1996).
- Canto, M. I. et al. International Cancer of the Pancreas Screening (CAPS) Consortium summit on the management of patients with increased risk for familial pancreatic cancer. Gut 62, 339–347 (2013).
- 5. Jasperson, K. W. Genetic testing by cancer site: colon (polyposis syndromes). Cancer J. Sudbury Mass 18, 328-333 (2012).
- 6. Sieber, O. M. et al. Multiple colorectal adenomas, classic adenomatous polyposis, and germ-line mutations in MYH. N. Engl. J. Med. 348, 791–799 (2003).
- 7. Leoz, M. L., Carballal, S., Moreira, L., Ocaña, T. & Balaguer, F. The genetic basis of familial adenomatous polyposis and its implications for clinical practice and risk management. *Appl. Clin. Genet.* **8**, 95–107 (2015).
- 8. Alkhouri, N., Franciosi, J. P. & Mamula, P. Familial adenomatous polyposis in children and adolescents. J. Pediatr. Gastroenterol. Nutr. 51,
- 9. 727–732 (2010).
- 10. Tavtigian, S. V. et al. Rare, evolutionarily unlikely missense substitutions in ATM confer increased risk of breast cancer. Am. J. Hum. Genet. 85, 427–446 (2009).
- 11. Byrnes, G. B., Southey, M. C. & Hopper, J. L. Are the so-called low penetrance breast cancer genes, ATM, BRIP1, PALB2 and CHEK2, high risk for women with strong family histories? *Breast Cancer Res. BCR* 10, 208 (2008).
- 12. Einarsdóttir, K. et al. Effect of ATM, CHEK2 and ERBB2 TAGSNPs and haplotypes on endometrial cancer risk. Hum. Mol. Genet. 16, 154–
- 13. 164 (2007).
- 14. Renwick, A. et al. ATM mutations that cause ataxia-telangiectasia are breast cancer susceptibility alleles. Nat. Genet. 38, 873–875 (2006).
- 15. Roberts, N. J. et al. ATM mutations in patients with hereditary pancreatic cancer. Cancer Discov. 2, 41-46 (2012).
- 16. Thompson, D. et al. Cancer risks and mortality in heterozygous ATM mutation carriers. J. Natl. Cancer Inst. 97, 813–822 (2005).
- 17. Ford, D. et al. Genetic heterogeneity and penetrance analysis of the BRCA1 and BRCA2 genes in breast cancer families. The Breast Cancer Linkage Consortium. Am. J. Hum. Genet. 62, 676–689 (1998).
- 18. King, M.-C., Marks, J. H., Mandell, J. B. & New York Breast Cancer Study Group. Breast and ovarian cancer risks due to inherited mutations in BRCA1 and BRCA2. *Science* **302**, 643–646 (2003).
- 19. Levine, D. A. et al. Fallopian tube and primary peritoneal carcinomas associated with BRCA mutations. J. Clin. Oncol. Off. J. Am. Soc. Clin. Oncol. 21, 4222–4227 (2003).
- 20. Claus, E. B., Schildkraut, J. M., Thompson, W. D. & Risch, N. J. The genetic attributable risk of breast and ovarian cancer. Cancer 77,
- 21. 2318-2324 (1996).
- 22. Antoniou, A. et al. Average risks of breast and ovarian cancer associated with BRCA1 or BRCA2 mutations detected in case Series unselected for family history: a combined analysis of 22 studies. Am. J. Hum. Genet. 72, 1117–1130 (2003).
- 23. Biron-Shental, T., Drucker, L., Altaras, M., Bernheim, J. & Fishman, A. High incidence of BRCA1-2 germline mutations, previous breast cancer and familial cancer history in Jewish patients with uterine serous papillary carcinoma. *Eur. J. Surg. Oncol. J. Eur. Soc. Surg. Oncol. Br. Assoc. Surg. Oncol.* 32, 1097–1100 (2006).
- 24. Chen, S. & Parmigiani, G. Meta-analysis of BRCA1 and BRCA2 penetrance. J. Clin. Oncol. Off. J. Am. Soc. Clin. Oncol. 25, 1329–1333
- 25. (2007).
- Graeser, M. K. et al. Contralateral breast cancer risk in BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutation carriers. J. Clin. Oncol. Off. J. Am. Soc. Clin. Oncol. 27, 5887
 5892 (2009).
- 27. Liede, A., Karlan, B. Y. & Narod, S. A. Cancer risks for male carriers of germline mutations in BRCA1 or BRCA2: a review of the literature. *J. Clin. Oncol. Off. J. Am. Soc. Clin. Oncol.* 22, 735–742 (2004).
- 28. Pennington, K. P. et al. BRCA1, TP53, and CHEK2 germline mutations in uterine serous carcinoma. Cancer 119, 332–338 (2013).
- Risch, H. A. et al. Population BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutation frequencies and cancer penetrances: a kin-cohort study in Ontario, Canada. J. Natl. Cancer Inst. 98, 1694–1706 (2006).

^{**} High overall risk of cancer: 75% lifetime risk for males to develop cancer, nearly 100% risk for females.



- Leachman, S. A. et al. Identification, genetic testing, and management of hereditary melanoma. Cancer Metastasis Rev. 36, 77–90 (2017).
 Goldstein, A. M. et al. High-risk melanoma susceptibility genes and pancreatic cancer, neural system tumors, and uveal melanoma across GenoMEL. Cancer Res. 66, 9818–9828 (2006).
- 31. Puntervoll, H. E. *et al.* Melanoma prone families with CDK4 germline mutation: phenotypic profile and associations with MC1R variants. *J. Med. Genet.* **50**, 264–270 (2013).
- 32. Kefford, R. F., Newton Bishop, J. A., Bergman, W. & Tucker, M. A. Counseling and DNA testing for individuals perceived to be geneticallypredisposed to melanoma: A consensus statement of the Melanoma Genetics Consortium. J. Clin. Oncol. Off. J. Am. Soc. Clin. Oncol. 17,
- 33. 3245–3251 (1999).
- 34. Czajkowski, R., Placek, W., Drewa, G., Czajkowska, A. & Uchańska, G. FAMMM syndrome: pathogenesis and management. *Dermatol. Surg. Off. Publ. Am. Soc. Dermatol. Surg. Al* **30**, 291–296 (2004).
- 35. Soura, E., Eliades, P. J., Shannon, K., Stratigos, A. J. & Tsao, H. Hereditary melanoma: Update on syndromes and management: Emerging melanoma cancer complexes and genetic counseling. *J. Am. Acad. Dermatol.* **74**, 411–420; quiz 421–422 (2016).
- 36. Goldstein, A. M. *et al.* Features associated with germline CDKN2A mutations: a GenoMEL study of melanoma-prone families from three continents. *J. Med. Genet.* **44,** 99–106 (2007).
- 37. Weissman, S. M. et al. Genetic counseling considerations in the evaluation of families for Lynch syndrome--a review. J. Genet. Couns. 20,
- 38. 5-19 (2011)
- 39. Win, A. K. et al. Colorectal and other cancer risks for carriers and noncarriers from families with a DNA mismatch repair gene mutation: a prospective cohort study. J. Clin. Oncol. Off. J. Am. Soc. Clin. Oncol. 30, 958–964 (2012).
- Durno, C. A., Holter, S., Sherman, P. M. & Gallinger, S. The gastrointestinal phenotype of germline biallelic mismatch repair genemutations. Am. J. Gastroenterol. 105, 2449–2456 (2010).
- 41. Vasen, H. F. et al. Cancer risk in families with hereditary nonpolyposis colorectal cancer diagnosed by mutation analysis. Gastroenterology
- 42. **110**, 1020–1027 (1996).
- 43. Wimmer, K. & Kratz, C. P. Constitutional mismatch repair-deficiency syndrome. Haematologica 95, 699-701 (2010).
- 44. Li-Chang, H. H. et al. Colorectal cancer in a 9-year-old due to combined EPCAM and MSH2 germline mutations: case report of a unique genotype and immunophenotype. J. Clin. Pathol. 66, 631–633 (2013).
- 45. Bonadona, V. et al. Cancer risks associated with germline mutations in MLH1, MSH2, and MSH6 genes in Lynch syndrome. *JAMA* **305**, 2304–2310 (2011).
- 46. Quehenberger, F., Vasen, H. F. A. & van Houwelingen, H. C. Risk of colorectal and endometrial cancer for carriers of mutations of the hMLH1 and hMSH2 gene: correction for ascertainment. *J. Med. Genet.* **42**, 491–496 (2005).
- 7. Baglietto, L. et al. Risks of Lynch syndrome cancers for MSH6 mutation carriers. J. Natl. Cancer Inst. 102, 193–201 (2010).
- 48. Rahman, N. et al. PALB2, which encodes a BRCA2-interacting protein, is a breast cancer susceptibility gene. Nat. Genet. 39, 165-167
- 49. (2007)
- 50. Erkko, H. et al. A recurrent mutation in PALB2 in Finnish cancer families. Nature 446, 316-319 (2007).
- 51. Antoniou, A. C. et al. Breast-cancer risk in families with mutations in PALB2. N. Engl. J. Med. 371, 497–506 (2014).
- 52. Casadei, S. et al. Contribution of inherited mutations in the BRCA2-interacting protein PALB2 to familial breast cancer. Cancer Res. 71,
- 53. 2222–2229 (2011).
- 54. Jones, S. et al. Exomic sequencing identifies PALB2 as a pancreatic cancer susceptibility gene. Science 324, 217 (2009).
- 55. Slater, E. P. et al. PALB2 mutations in European familial pancreatic cancer families. Clin. Genet. 78, 490-494 (2010).
- ten Broeke, S. W. et al. Lynch syndrome caused by germline PMS2 mutations: delineating the cancer risk. J. Clin. Oncol. Off. J. Am. Soc. Clin. Oncol. 33, 319–325 (2015).
- 57. Senter, L. et al. The clinical phenotype of Lynch syndrome due to germ-line PMS2 mutations. Gastroenterology 135, 419–428 (2008).
- 58. Tomlinson, I. P. & Houlston, R. S. Peutz-Jeghers syndrome. J. Med. Genet. 34, 1007-1011 (1997).
- 59. Hearle, N. et al. Frequency and spectrum of cancers in the Peutz-Jeghers syndrome. Clin. Cancer Res. Off. J. Am. Assoc. Cancer Res.
- 60. **12**, 3209–3215 (2006).
- 61. Giardiello, F. M. et al. Very high risk of cancer in familial Peutz-Jeghers syndrome. Gastroenterology 119, 1447–1453 (2000).
- 62. Hisada, M., Garber, J. E., Fung, C. Y., Fraumeni, J. F. & Li, F. P. Multiple primary cancers in families with Li-Fraumeni syndrome. *J. Natl. Cancer Inst.* **90**, 606–611 (1998).
- 63. Chompret, A. et al. P53 germline mutations in childhood cancers and cancer risk for carrier individuals. Br. J. Cancer 82, 1932–1937
- 64. (2000).
- 65. Gonzalez, K. D. et al. Beyond Li Fraumeni Syndrome: clinical characteristics of families with p53 germline mutations. J. Clin. Oncol. Off. J. Am. Soc. Clin. Oncol. 27, 1250–1256 (2009).
- 66. Olivier, M. et al. Li-Fraumeni and related syndromes: correlation between tumor type, family structure, and TP53 genotype. Cancer Res.
- 67. **63**, 6643–6650 (2003).
- 68. Ruijs, M. W. G. et al. TP53 germline mutation testing in 180 families suspected of Li-Fraumeni syndrome: mutation detection rate and relative frequency of cancers in different familial phenotypes. J. Med. Genet. 47, 421–428 (2010).
- 69. Frantzen, C., Klasson, T. D., Links, T. P. & Giles, R. H. Von Hippel-Lindau Syndrome. in GeneReviews(®) (eds. Pagon, R. A. et al.)
- 70. (University of Washington, Seattle, 1993).
- 71. Mannelli, M. et al. Genetics and biology of pheochromocytoma. Exp. Clin. Endocrinol. Diabetes Off. J. Ger. Soc. Endocrinol. Ger. Diabetes Assoc. 115, 160–165 (2007).
- 72. Lonser, R. R. et al. von Hippel-Lindau disease. Lancet Lond. Engl. 361, 2059-2067 (2003).
- 73. Maher, E. R., Neumann, H. P. & Richard, S. von Hippel-Lindau disease: a clinical and scientific review. Eur. J. Hum. Genet. EJHG 19, 617–623 (2011)